



- carbon dioxide (*Continued*)  
 Caprese reservoir **193**, 195–196  
 Frigento reservoir *188*, **193**, 194–195  
 Monte Taburno reservoir *189*, **193**, 194  
 Muscillo reservoir *189*, **193**, 194  
 results discussed  
   data synthesis 203–207  
   leakage and risk management 199–203  
   reservoir characteristics, leaking v. sealing  
     196–199  
   summary 207–208
- carbonates, earthquake nucleation 3
- Catskill delta 245
- cement, impact on strength 22, 23
- chalk reservoirs  
 Ockley accumulation (North Sea)  
   appraisal data 117  
   appraisal wells 118–121  
   faults and fractures 122–124, *124*  
   geological setting 113–115  
   geomechanical analysis  
     Biot coefficient and effective stress 125–126  
     horizontal stress direction 126  
     pore pressure 124–125  
     stress magnitudes *in situ* 125  
   location *114*  
   matrix properties 121–122, *121*  
   porosity cycles 115  
   seismic section *116*  
   stimulation and testing 117–118  
   stratigraphy *115*  
   structure 115, *123*  
   summary and discussion of data 128  
     fracture designs 127–128  
     fracture propagation 126–127
- chalk, tight  
 microtexture variation 227–228  
 regional study  
   methods of analysis  
     petrographic 229, 230, 233  
     petrophysical 233  
 results  
   petrographic 233–234  
   petrophysical 235–236, **235**  
 results discussed 236  
   lithotypes and diagenesis 236–237  
   petrophysics/mechanics 237–240  
 sampling setting 228–229, **230–231**  
 summary 240–241
- Chirag mud volcano  
 geometry 276  
 modelling behaviour  
   methods 280–281  
   results 281–283  
   results discussed 284–288  
   summary 288–289
- coccolith studies 231, 232
- cohesive strength **278**, **281**
- Colombia *see* Cusiana field
- compaction  
 causes 7  
 curve *280*
- compressional stress studies  
 Cusiana field  
   stress evolution 19–20  
   stress modelling 12, *13*  
   stress regime analysis 10–12
- compressive strength tests, tight chalk 233, 234, 237,  
 239–240, 239
- Contursi reservoir *191*
- Coulomb failure criterion *148*, 157, *158*, 277
- Cozzette sandstone  
 geomechanical parameters **262**  
 opening-mode fractures, thermal history 263–264
- Cusiana fault 10, *11*
- Cusiana field  
 stress evolution 19–20  
 stress modelling 12, *13*  
 stress regime analysis 10–12
- Daghinsky Formation 23, *24*
- deformation boundary approach 9–10
- Denison Trough 31
- detachments (low-angle normal faults) (LANF)  
 character 143  
 first reported 143  
 Santos Basin study  
   geomechanical modelling 144–145, 147  
   numerical simulation 149–150  
   parameters 147, 149  
   results *146*, *147*, *148*, *149*, *150*, *151*  
   results discussed 150–152
- diagenesis index, tight chalk *231*, *232*, 236–237
- differential stress 131
- domino-style faulting 155
- drilling window 213, *214*
- earthquakes, in carbonates 3
- East Texas Basin  
 Travis Peak Formation  
   geomechanical parameters **262**  
   natural fracture pattern 261  
   role of overpressure 264  
   thermal history 261, 263  
   opening-mode fractures, role of overpressure  
     265–266  
   setting 259–261
- effective normal stress 132
- elastic deformation, causes 7
- elastic properties 282  
 values for modelling **278**, **281**
- exhumation  
 fault reactivation and fluid flow 107–108  
 fault-bounded traps 108  
 role in stress history 268  
 stress evolution 106–107
- exhumed basins, prospectivity problems 89  
*see* Illizi Basin
- extensional faulting  
 Andersonian–Byerlee mechanics 156–159  
 fault interactions 159–160  
 pore fluid pressure 159  
 principal stress trajectories 159  
 rock composition variation 159
- facies variation, impact on strength 22, 23, 25
- failure angle 2
- fault blocks, stress estimation 9–10

- fault valving 3
- faulting
  - classification 10, 91, 257, 277
  - geomechanics of 143, 156–159
  - initiation 155, 157
  - stress states driving 132–135
- faults
  - hard v. soft linkage 159
  - interaction of 159–160
- Flamborough Head chalk 228, 229, **230**
- fluid flow
  - mud volcano studies 284–285
  - subsurface 90
- fluid inclusions
  - role in microthermometry of crack-seal quartz 258–259
    - Mesaverde Group 263–264
    - overpressure history 264–265
    - thermoelastic contraction history 265–266
    - Travis Peak Formation 261, 263
- fracture closure pressure (FCP) 92
- fracture development 18–19
  - opening-mode fracture systems 257
    - case study
      - Travis Peak Formation
        - geomechanical parameters **262**
        - natural fracture pattern 261
        - role of overpressure 264, 265–266
        - thermal history 261, 263
        - setting 259–261
      - failure criterion 257
      - microthermometry on quartz 258–259
      - role of shear failure 258
- fracture pressure (FP) 213, **278, 281, 283**
  - historical models 214–215
    - Brackles & van Eekelen 216
    - Eaton 215–216, 216
    - Matthews & Kelly 215, 216
  - implications for drillability 285–288
  - new model 216–219
    - case study testing
      - Gulf of Mexico 222
      - North Sea 222–224
      - Scotian Shelf 219–222
    - summary of performance 224
- France, Cenomanian–Turonian chalks 228, 229, **230–231**
- friction 155, 157
- friction angle **278, 281, 284**
- Frigento reservoir 188, **193**, 194–195
- geofluids, impact of 2
- geomechanics 1
  - chalk studies *see* Ockley accumulation *also* chalk, tight
  - correlation with P-wave velocity 277
  - exhumation effects *see* Illizi Basin
  - role in oil field development 7–8, 8, 9
- geostress *see* stress
- Gippsland Basin 49, 51
- Gjallar Ridge 152, 152
- Griffith–Coulomb failure 148
- Griffith cracks 2
- hard linkage of faults 159
- Hassi Messaoud field 90, 91, 104–105
  - burial history model 91
- Hod Formation, Ockley accumulation
  - appraisal data 117
  - appraisal wells 118–121
  - faults and fractures 122–124, 124
  - geological setting 113–115
  - geomechanical analysis
    - Biot coefficient and effective stress 125–126
    - horizontal stress direction 126
    - pore pressure 124–125
    - stress magnitudes *in situ* 125
  - location 114
  - matrix properties 121–122, 121
  - porosity cycles 115
  - seismic section 116
  - stimulation and testing 117–118
  - stratigraphy 115
  - structure 115, 123
  - summary and discussion of data 128
    - fracture designs 127–128
    - fracture propagation 126–127
- horizontal stress **278, 281**
- Hunter Bowen Orogeny 31
- hydraulic fractures 126
- hydrofractures 3
- hydrostatic pressure **278, 281, 283**
- Illizi Basin
  - burial history model 91
  - exhumation stress characteristics 103–104
  - fluid flow 90–91
  - geomechanics 93, **95**
    - maximum horizontal stress azimuth 97–98
    - maximum horizontal stress magnitude 100–103
    - mechanical properties 93–94
    - minimum horizontal stress magnitude 98, **99, 100**
    - pore pressure 94
    - vertical stress magnitude 96–97
  - location 90
- Indo-Australian Plate 32
- instantaneous shut-in pressure (ISIP) 92
- Italy
  - carbon dioxide geofluids 182–183
    - flow in formations 183, 185
    - map of seeps 184
    - migration mechanisms 185–186
    - seep case studies 186
      - geological settings 186–187
      - leakage pathways 187–188
      - properties modelling 187
      - reservoir classification 187
      - results 188–190
        - Acerno reservoir 191, **193, 195**
        - Benevento field 188, 189, 190–194
        - Caprese reservoir **193, 195–196**
        - Frigento reservoir 188, **193, 194–195**
        - Monte Taburno reservoir 189, **193, 194**
        - Muscillo reservoir 189, **193, 194**
    - results discussed
      - data synthesis 203–207
      - leakage and risk management 199–203
      - reservoir characteristics, leaking v. sealing 196–199
    - summary 207–208

- kerogen, conversion to hydrocarbons 3
- kink bands, Appalachian Plateau 248–249
- modelling 249–250
- application 250–251
  - parameter sensitivity 252–253
  - parameters 251
  - results 252
  - results discussed 253–255
  - summary 255
- Kurdashi–Araz–Deniz structure 279
- Lamé's constant **278, 281, 282**
- leak-off test 213–214
- limit analysis 249
- low-angle normal faults (LANF; detachments)
- character 143
  - first reported 143
  - Santos Basin study
    - geomechanical modelling 144–145, 147
    - numerical simulation 149–150
    - parameters 147, 149
    - results 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151
    - results discussed 150–152
- Lunskoye field, case study of strength variations 23–24
- Mackenzie delta 273
- maximum strength theorem 249
- mechanical earth model (1D wellbore stress model) 39–41
- Mesaverde Group
- opening-mode fractures
    - role of overpressure 264–265, 266, 268
    - thermal history 263–264
  - setting 263
- Mexico, Gulf of
- fracture pressure model testing 222
  - East Texas Basin, Travis Peak Formation
    - geomechanical parameters **262**
    - natural fracture pattern 261
    - role of overpressure 264
    - thermal history 261, 263
    - opening-mode fractures, role of overpressure 265–266
    - setting 259–261
- microthermometry, opening-mode fracture systems 258–259
- Mesaverde Group 263
- role of overpressure 264–265, 266, 268
  - thermal history 263–264
  - Travis Peak Formation 265–266
- Mississippi delta 273
- Mohr diagram 157, 158
- low-angle normal faults 148
- Mohr–Coulomb failure criterion 91, 92
- Monte Taburno reservoir 189, **193**, 194
- mud volcanoes
- development 273
  - modelling behaviour
    - Chirag geometry 276
  - methods 280–281
  - results 281–283
  - results discussed 284–288
  - summary 288–289
- Muscillo reservoir 189, **193**, 194
- Niger delta 273
- Nile Delta, fracture pressure gradient 215
- normal faulting
- regimes 131
  - stress system 10, 15, 16, 277
- North Sea
- Brent field, stress depletion 20–22
  - fracture pressure model testing 222–224
- Ockley accumulation
- appraisal data 117
  - appraisal wells 118–121
  - faults and fractures 122–124, 124
  - geological setting 113–115
  - geomechanical analysis
    - Biot coefficient and effective stress 125–126
    - horizontal stress direction 126
    - pore pressure 124–125
    - stress magnitudes *in situ* 125
  - location 114
  - matrix properties 121–122, 121
  - porosity cycles 115
  - seismic section 116
  - stimulation and testing 117–118
  - stratigraphy 115
  - structure 115, 123
  - summary and discussion of data 128
    - fracture designs 127–128
    - fracture propagation 126–127
- numerical modelling
- Cusiana field stress 12
  - sandbox modelling 12–15
- Ockley accumulation
- appraisal data 117
  - appraisal wells 118–121
  - faults and fractures 122–124, 124
  - geological setting 113–115
  - geomechanical analysis
    - Biot coefficient and effective stress 125–126
    - horizontal stress direction 126
    - pore pressure 124–125
    - stress magnitudes *in situ* 125
  - location 114
  - matrix properties 121–122, 121
  - porosity cycles 115
  - seismic section 116
  - stimulation and testing 117–118
  - stratigraphy 115
  - structure 115, 123
  - summary and discussion of data 128
    - fracture designs 127–128
    - fracture propagation 126–127
- oil fields, impacts of development 7
- opening-mode fracture systems 257
- case study
    - Travis Peak Formation
      - geomechanical parameters **262**
      - natural fracture pattern 261
      - role of overpressure 264, 265–266
      - thermal history 261, 263
      - setting 259–261
    - failure criterion 257
    - microthermometry on quartz 258–259
    - role of shear failure 258

- Otway Basin  
 geological history 53–54  
 setting 49, 51, 57, 53  
 stratigraphy 50  
 structural elements 52  
 study of contemporary stresses 54–55  
 basement rocks 71–74  
 constraining maximum horizontal stress  
 magnitudes 69  
 maximum horizontal stress orientation 55–61  
 results  
 minimum horizontal stress magnitudes 65–69  
 neotectonic compressional  
 deformation 74–79  
 pore pressure magnitudes 61–63  
 possible states of stress 69–71  
 vertical stress magnitudes 63–65  
 results interpreted 79  
 conflicts resolved 81  
 post-rift setting 80  
 syn-rift setting 80–81  
 summary 81–82  
 overburden stress **278**, **281**, 283  
 overpressure 132, 133–135, 213, 285  
 generation of 2–3  
 role in opening-mode fractures 264–265
- P-wave velocity  
 correlation with geomechanical properties 277  
 modelling mud volcano properties  
 Chirag geometry 276  
 methods 280–281  
 results 281–283  
 results discussed 284–288  
 summary 288–289  
 relation to depth 280, **281**  
 tight chalk 233, 237–238  
 passive basins, stress analysis 9  
 permeability studies  
 chalk **119**, 122  
 tight chalk 233, 235, 237, 238  
 phyllosilicates, role in faulting 159  
 Piceance Basin  
 Mesaverde Group  
 opening-mode fractures  
 role of overpressure 264–265, 266, 268  
 thermal history 263–264  
 setting 263  
 Poisson's ratio 35–36, **278**, **281**, 282  
 pore fluid factor 132  
 pore fluid pressure 132, 213, **278**, **281**, 283, 284  
 chalk **119**  
 implications for drillability 285–288  
 role in extensional faulting 159  
 role in opening-mode fractures 258  
 porosity **278**, 283  
 chalk 121  
 tight chalk 233, 235, 237, 238, 239  
 pressure, values for modelling **278**, **281**  
 principal stress trajectories, role in extensional  
 faulting 159
- quartz  
 in chalk 121
- crack-seal 258–259  
 relation to thermal expansivity 267
- Raleigh analysis **38**
- reservoirs  
 carbon capture and storage 181  
 characterization of sites 181–182, **182**  
 case study for Italy  
 carbon dioxide geofluids 182–183  
 flow in formations 183, 185  
 map of seeps 184  
 migration mechanisms 185–186  
 seep case studies 186  
 geological settings 186–187  
 leakage pathways 187–188  
 properties modelling 187  
 reservoir classification 187  
 results 188–190  
 Acerno reservoir 191, **193**, 195  
 Benevento field 188, 189, 190–194  
 Caprese reservoir **193**, 195–196  
 Frigento reservoir 188, **193**, 194–195  
 Monte Taburno reservoir 189, **193**, 194  
 Muscillo reservoir 189, **193**, 194  
 results discussed  
 data synthesis 203–207  
 leakage and risk management 199–203  
 reservoir characteristics, leaking v. sealing  
 196–199  
 summary 207–208  
 pressure depletion during production 19–20  
 reshear criterion 157–158  
 reverse faulting, stress system 277  
 rock strength *see* strength  
 rock surface friction coefficient 155, 157  
 Roma Shelf 32, 34, 39  
 rotational planar normal faulting, alternative names 155  
 Russia, Lunskeye field 23–24
- S-wave velocity, relation to depth 280, **281**
- Sabine Uplift 260
- Sakhalin Island, Lunskeye field 23–24
- Salina Group evaporites 245, 246
- salt tectonics  
 Appalachian Basin 245, 247, 253, 255  
 Santos Basin 145, 151, 152  
 sandbox modelling, thrust fault system 12–15  
 Santos Basin (Brazil)  
 fault system study  
 geomechanical modelling 144–145, 147  
 numerical simulation 149–150  
 parameters 147, 149  
 results 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151  
 results discussed 150–152  
 geological setting 144  
 location 145  
 seismic section 145  
 structure 144
- Scotian Shelf, fracture pressure model testing 219–222
- scratch tests 24
- seismic activity, upper crust 131
- seismic waves *see* P-wave; S-wave
- shale, compaction curve 280
- shear failure, role of 258

- shear modulus **278, 281, 282**  
 shear wave velocity **278**  
 shearing, causes 7  
 silica, relation to thermal expansivity 267  
 soft linkage of faults 159  
 South Caspian Basin 275  
   Chirag mud volcano  
     geometry 276  
     modelling behaviour  
       methods 280–281  
       results 281–283  
       results discussed 284–288  
       summary 288–289  
     geological setting 274  
     stratigraphy 276  
     tectonic setting 275  
 strain boundary approach 9–10  
 strength properties, values in modelling **278, 281**  
 strength testing 22–23  
   Lunskoye field case study 23–24  
 stress  
   Andersonian 257  
   case studies  
     Bowen Basin 35–36  
     Brent field 20–22  
     Cusiana field 10–12  
     Otway Basin  
       study of contemporary stresses 54–55  
         basement rocks 71–74  
         constraining maximum horizontal stress  
           magnitudes 69  
         maximum horizontal stress orientation 55–61  
         results  
           minimum horizontal stress magnitudes  
             65–69  
           neotectonic compressional deformation  
             74–79  
           pore pressure magnitudes 61–63  
           possible states of stress 69–71  
           vertical stress magnitudes 63–65  
         results interpreted 79  
           conflicts resolved 81  
           post-rift setting 80  
           syn-rift setting 80–81  
           summary 81–82  
     changes during oil field development 7–8  
     defined 131  
     description 1, 91, 157, 257  
     driver of faulting 132–135  
     evolution during production 19–20  
     history models 268  
     magnitude estimation 9–10  
     normal faulting systems 15  
     numerical model 12  
     passive basins 9  
     post-faulting regimes 16, 17, 18  
     processes controlling 1–2  
     thrust faulting systems 12–15, 16  
     trajectories and role in extensional faulting 159  
     values for modelling **278, 281**  
 stress polygon 15–16, 17, 279  
   analysis for mud volcano 285  
 strike-slip faulting stress regimes 10, 131, 277  
 Surat Basin 32, 33, 34  
   domains 34, 37, 39  
   mechanical stratigraphy 41–44  
     oilfield impacts 44–46  
   stress measurements 35–36  
   stress model (1D wellbore) 39–41  
   stress orientation 36–37, 39  
 Sussex, Turonian–Cenomanian chalk 228, 229, **230–231**  
 Taroom Trough 31, 33, 34, 39  
 Tea Cup porphyry system 161–163, 164, 165  
 Terzaghi law 91, 125  
 thermal expansivity 267  
 thermoelastic contraction, role in opening-mode fractures  
   265–266, 268  
 thermometry *see* microthermometry  
 thrust faulting 10, 131  
   Appalachian Plateau 245, 246  
   deformation  
     modelling 249–250  
       application 250–251  
       parameter sensitivity 252–253  
       parameters 251  
       results 252  
       results discussed 253–255  
       summary 255  
   Cusiana field  
     stress evolution 19–20  
     stress modelling 12, 13  
     stress regime analysis 10–12  
   sandbox modelling 12–15  
 tight chalk *see* chalk  
 tilting 157  
   controls on 155  
 Travis Peak Formation  
   geomechanical parameters **262**  
   natural fracture pattern 261  
     role of overpressure 264  
   thermal history 261, 263  
   opening-mode fractures, role of overpressure 265–266  
   setting 259–261  
 unconfined compressive strength (UCS) tests, tight chalk  
   233, 234, 236, 237, 239–240, 239  
 uniaxial compressive strength **278, 281**  
 USA *see* Appalachian Plateau; Basin and Range; East  
   Texas Basin; Piceance Basin  
 Valley and Ridge Province 245, 246  
 veins, role of 3  
 vertical stress *see* overburden stress  
 vesicles, mechanical strength 2  
 viscous deformation 132  
 volcanoes, stability of 2  
   *see also* mud volcanoes  
 Volga delta 273  
 Voring Basin 152  
 Walloon Fairway 32, 34  
 wellbore stress model (1D) 39–41  
 yield strength profile 147  
 Yopal fault 10, 11  
 Young's modulus 9, 35–36, **278, 281, 282**  
   tight chalk 240